Proposing the Emotional Consistency Index (ECI): A Quantitative Metric for Emotional Alignment in Cross-Cultural Subtitle Translation

Authors：Anni Li[1]*, Yilin Liu[2]*, Ye Liu[3]

[1]London College of Communication, University of the Arts London; School of Foreign Languages, Central South University

[2]Bangor Business School, Bangor University

[3]School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Shanghai Lida University

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author：Anni Li. E-mail: lianni0125@gmail.com; Address: 932 South Lushan Road, Yuelu District, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R. China

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
	This paper centers on the development and validation of the Emotional Consistency Index (ECI), a novel quantitative metric designed to address a critical gap in translation studies: the lack of tools to measure emotional alignment between subtitled content and audience feedback in cross-cultural transmedia contexts. Traditional subtitle evaluation frameworks prioritize lexical or syntactic accuracy (e.g., BLEU scores, TER), while existing statistical methods (e.g., Pearson's correlation coefficient) fail to leverage the unique properties of standardized emotional data—undermining their utility for studying how subtitles mediate affect across cultures. Derived from Pearson's coefficient but simplified to account for the inherent centering of emotional scores (generated via tools like BERT and VADER), ECI streamlines the quantification of emotional resonance while retaining theoretical rigor. Through controlled simulated data experiments, this research demonstrates ECI's ability to distinguish between varying degrees of emotional alignment, validate its computational efficiency, and situate it within translation studies' broader shift toward transmedia-focused, audience-centric research. This work contributes a practical, theory-driven metric that reorients subtitle evaluation from "linguistic calibration" to the measurement of emotional negotiation—a core dimension of effective cross-cultural communication.

Introduction

Translation studies has long sought to quantify subtitle effectiveness, yet existing frameworks remain anchored in a narrow focus on lexical or syntactic fidelity. Metrics such as BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and Translation Edit Rate (TER; Snover et al., 2006) assess how closely target subtitles match source-text semantics but ignore the *emotional dimension* of cross-cultural communication—arguably the most impactful factor in audience engagement (Ivarsson & Carroll, 1998). As transmedia platforms (e.g., Bilibili, Viki) integrate real-time audience feedback (e.g., bullet screens, comment sections) into content consumption, scholars have increasingly recognized that subtitles do not merely "transfer" meaning: they facilitate dynamic negotiation of affect between source cultures, target audiences, and media forms (Jenkins, 2006).

This shift has exposed a methodological deficit: how to quantify whether subtitles successfully transmit the intended emotional tone of source content to target audiences. While Pearson's correlation coefficient has been widely adopted in fields like education (Sousa et al., 2024), and social media sentiment analysis (Hutto & Gilbert, 2014), its application to link subtitle emotional scores with audience feedback represents a novel approach in translation studies. However, Pearson's coefficient requires cumbersome mean-subtraction steps that are redundant for emotional data—specifically, scores generated by state-of-the-art affect analysis tools (e.g., BERT, VADER) are standardized to a [-1, 1] range and exhibit near-zero means (Hutto & Gilbert, 2014; Devlin et al., 2019). This redundancy not only reduces computational efficiency but also creates a disconnect between statistical outputs and their theoretical meaning in translation studies.

To address these limitations, this research proposes the Emotional Consistency Index (ECI): a simplified, contextually adapted metric that retains the mathematical rigor of Pearson's coefficient while aligning with the unique properties of emotional data and the theoretical priorities of translation studies. ECI is not merely a "statistical shortcut"; it is a theory-driven tool that operationalizes the concept of "emotional alignment" as a measurable construct—one that reflects translation's role in mediating affect across cultural and media boundaries. Below, this research grounds ECI in translation studies and affect analysis theory, detail its derivation, validate it via simulated data, and discuss its implications for future research.

Theoretical Foundations: Emotional Data, Translation, and the Case for ECI

Before deriving ECI, it is critical to establish two foundational pillars: (1) the unique characteristics of emotional data generated for subtitle and audience feedback analysis, and (2) how these characteristics demand a metric tailored to translation studies' goals.

1. Standardization and Centering of Emotional Data

Modern affect analysis relies on tools that produce standardized emotional scores, ensuring comparability across texts, languages, and platforms. Two dominant tools in transmedia translation research are:

- **BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers)**: A pre-trained language model fine-tuned for emotion classification, which outputs scores

for discrete or continuous affect (Devlin et al., 2019). For subtitle analysis, BERT is typically calibrated to generate continuous scores in the [-1, 1] range, where -1 denotes extreme negative emotion (e.g., grief, anger) and 1 denotes extreme positive emotion (e.g., joy, relief).

- **VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner)**: A rule-based model optimized for short, informal texts (e.g., audience comments, bullet screens) that also outputs continuous valence scores in [-1, 1] (Hutto & Gilbert, 2014). VADER's strength lies in its ability to capture nuance in colloquial language (e.g., sarcasm, intensifiers like "so sad")—critical for analyzing real-time audience feedback.

A defining feature of scores from these tools is their inherent centering. Large-scale studies of emotional data in media contexts consistently report mean scores near 0.For example, Wang and Xu (2019) provide compelling evidence for this pattern in their comprehensive analysis of 486,025 bullet screen comments extracted from Bilibili's Classroom of the Elite anime. Their research, which employed a domain-adapted sentiment dictionary built upon Dalian University of Technology's emotional ontology and supplemented with 794 bullet screen-specific terms, revealed that only 20‑40% of the comments contained subjective emotional expressions. The remaining 60‑80% consisted of neutral content such as "check-in," timestamp notes, or the numerical laugh marker "233". This overwhelming majority of neutral utterances directly contributed to emotional scores clustering around the midpoint. Furthermore, their analysis of emotional distribution showed a balanced pattern across seven categories (joy, anger, sadness, fear, surprise, terror, and diversity), with no single emotion dominating the dataset—a finding visually corroborated by their radar chart visualization. Notably, the sentiment analysis tool used in their study demonstrated 84.9% accuracy in manual validation checks, confirming the reliability of these centering results.This centering arises because emotional content in most media is balanced (e.g., moments of tension offset by neutral exposition) and because tools like BERT and VADER are trained on diverse datasets that prevent valence bias.

For translation studies, this centering is not a trivial detail: it means that emotional data for subtitles (S_i) and audience comments (D_i) already satisfy a key assumption of Pearson's coefficient—normality and mean proximity to zero—without additional preprocessing. This insight forms the basis of ECI's simplification.

2. Translation Studies' Need for Emotion-Centric Metrics

Translation scholars have long argued that effective subtitle translation requires more than lexical accuracy: it demands aligning with the target audience's cultural cognition and emotional expectations (Gambier, 2013). For example, a subtitle that literalizes a source-culture emotional cue (e.g., a ritualistic expression of sorrow) may be "accurate" lexically but fail to evoke the intended affect in target audiences—leading to misinterpretation or disengagement.

Existing metrics cannot capture this dynamic. BLEU scores, for instance, would award high marks to a literal translation of a cultural emotional cue but provide no insight into whether the cue resonates with audiences (Papineni et al., 2002). Pearson's coefficient,

while capable of measuring correlation between subtitle and comment emotions, is not designed to answer translation-specific questions: *Does this subtitle strategy (e.g., cultural annotation, paraphrase) improve emotional alignment?* Its requirement for mean subtraction also introduces computational inefficiency for large transmedia datasets (e.g., millions of bullet screen comments), which are increasingly common in subtitle research(Wang&Xu,2019).

ECI addresses these gaps by: (1) simplifying Pearson's coefficient to leverage emotional data's centering, (2) standardizing outputs to a interpretable [-1, 1] range, and (3) grounding scores in translation studies' focus on emotional negotiation.

Derivation of the ECI Formula

ECI's derivation follows a rigorous, theory-informed process: this research starts with Pearson's correlation coefficient (the gold standard for linear relationship measurement), identify redundancies for emotional data, and simplify while preserving statistical validity and theoretical relevance to translation studies.

1. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient: The Starting Point

Pearson's coefficient (r) measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables (X and Y) and is defined as:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

Where:

- X_i and Y_i = individual observations of variables X and Y,
- $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ = sample means of X and Y,
- n = number of observations.

In subtitle translation research, X typically represents subtitle emotional scores (S_i) and Y represents audience comment emotional scores (D_i) (Wang & Xu, 2019). The numerator (covariance) measures how S_i and D_i vary together, while the denominator (product of standard deviations) standardizes the result to [-1, 1].

2. Simplifying for Centered Emotional Data

As established earlier, emotional scores from BERT and VADER exhibit mean values near 0 ($\bar{S} \approx 0$; $\bar{D} \approx 0$). For such data, the mean-subtraction terms ($S_i - \bar{S}$ and $D_i - \bar{D}$) simplify to S_i and D_i, respectively. This reduces the covariance (numerator) to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i - \bar{S})(D_i - \bar{D}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i D_i$$

Similarly, the variance terms in the denominator simplify to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i - \bar{S})^2 \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^2 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_i - \bar{D})^2 \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i^2$$

3. The Final ECI Formula

Substituting these simplifications into Pearson's coefficient yields the ECI formula:

$$ECI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i D_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^2 \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i^2}}$$

Where:

- S_i = continuous emotional score of the i-th subtitle segment (range: [-1, 1], generated via BERT or equivalent),

- D_i = aggregated continuous emotional score of audience comments corresponding to the i-th subtitle segment (range: [-1, 1], generated via VADER or equivalent),

- n = number of subtitle-comment pairs in the analysis.

Key Interpretations for Translation Studies:

- **ECI = 1**: Perfect emotional alignment. The subtitle's emotional tone is fully reflected in audience feedback (e.g., a sorrowful subtitle evokes uniformly sorrowful comments). This indicates successful emotional negotiation, often associated with culturally adaptive translation strategies (e.g., annotating emotional cues).

- **ECI = 0**: No linear emotional alignment. The subtitle's emotional tone and audience feedback are unrelated (e.g., a humorous subtitle elicits random positive/negative comments). This suggests the translation fails to mediate affect effectively.

- **ECI = -1**: Perfect emotional opposition. The subtitle's emotional tone is directly contradicted by audience feedback (e.g., a tragic subtitle elicits mocking comments). This indicates severe misinterpretation, often due to culturally insensitive translation.

4. Computational Efficiency of ECI

Beyond theoretical alignment with emotional data, ECI offers practical advantages for large-scale translation research. Using Python's `scipy.stats.pearsonr` and a custom ECI function, this research compared computation times for datasets of varying sizes (n = 10,000; n = 100,000; n = 1,000,000) using standardized emotional scores. As shown in Table 1, ECI reduces computation time by 28–32% compared to Pearson's coefficient—consistent with gains reported for simplified statistical metrics in large datasets (Virtanen

et al., 2020). This efficiency is critical for analyzing transmedia feedback, where datasets often exceed millions of observations (Wang & Xu, 2019).

Table 1: Computation Time Comparison (Mean ± SD, n = 10 Runs)

Dataset Size	Pearson's Coefficient (s)	ECI (s)	Time Reduction (%)
10,000	0.12 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	33.3
100,000	1.15 ± 0.09	0.78 ± 0.07	32.2
1,000,000	12.34 ± 0.81	8.56 ± 0.63	30.6

Validating ECI with Simulated Data

To test ECI's ability to measure emotional alignment in subtitle translation, this research designed three controlled simulated scenarios—reflecting common challenges in cross-cultural emotional negotiation—and evaluated ECI's performance against theoretical expectations.

1. Simulation Design

All simulations used emotional scores consistent with real-world distributions:

- **Subtitle scores (S_i)**: Generated from a normal distribution $N(0,0.3)$ (mimicking subtle emotional shifts in dramatic content, where most segments are neutral or moderately valenced).

- **Comment scores (D_i)**: Generated with varying degrees of alignment to S_i, plus Gaussian noise (ϵ) to simulate real-world audience variability:

  a. **Scenario 1: High Emotional Alignment (Culturally Adaptive Translation)**. $D_i = 0.8 \times S_i + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim N(0,0.1)$. This simulates a subtitle strategy that adapts emotional cues to the target culture (e.g., annotating a source-culture sorrowful ritual), leading to strong audience resonance.

  b. **Scenario 2: Low Emotional Alignment (Literal Translation)**. $D_i = 0.3 \times S_i + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim N(0,0.5)$. This simulates a literal translation that retains source-culture emotional cues without adaptation, leading to fragmented audience responses.

  c. **Scenario 3: Emotional Opposition (Misinterpreted Translation)**. $D_i = -0.9 \times S_i + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim N(0,0.1)$. This simulates a translation that distorts the source emotional tone (e.g., rendering a tragic line as comedic), leading to contradictory audience feedback.

For each scenario, this research generated 10 independent datasets (n = 100,000) to ensure result reliability.

2. Validation Metrics

This research evaluated ECI against two criteria:

- **Convergent Validity**: Does ECI align with theoretical expectations (e.g., high alignment = high ECI)?

- **Discriminant Validity**: Can ECI distinguish between the three scenarios?

3. Results

As shown in Table 2, ECI performed as expected across all scenarios:

- **Scenario 1 (High Alignment)**: ECI values clustered around 0.80 (mean = 0.81 ± 0.03), confirming strong emotional alignment. This mirrors findings from studies of culturally adaptive translation, where audience feedback closely tracks subtitle emotional tone.

- **Scenario 2 (Low Alignment)**: ECI values were low and variable (mean = 0.22 ± 0.05), reflecting fragmented audience responses. This aligns with research on literal translation, which often fails to mediate emotional cues across cultures (Gambier, 2013).

- **Scenario 3 (Opposition)**: ECI values were strongly negative (mean = -0.89 ± 0.02), indicating direct emotional contradiction. This matches cases of misinterpreted translation, where audience affect opposes the source intent.

Table 2: ECI Results by Scenario (Mean ± SD, n = 10 Datasets)

Scenario	ECI Mean ± SD	Theoretical Interpretation
High Alignment (Adaptive Translation)	0.81 ± 0.03	Successful emotional negotiation
Low Alignment (Literal Translation)	0.22 ± 0.05	Partial emotional negotiation; cultural disconnect
Emotional Opposition (Misinterpretation)	-0.89 ± 0.02	Failed emotional negotiation; active misalignment

To further validate ECI, this research compared its results to Pearson's coefficient for the same datasets. The two metrics exhibited a strong linear relationship (r = 0.98, p < 0.001), confirming ECI's statistical consistency with the gold standard—while offering faster computation (Table 1) and more intuitive interpretation for translation studies.

Discussion

1. Theoretical Contributions to Translation Studies

The Emotional Consistency Index (ECI) offers three distinct and impactful contributions to the field of translation studies, each addressing longstanding theoretical and methodological gaps that have constrained the analysis of cross-cultural subtitle communication.

Firstly, ECI operationalizes the abstract construct of "emotional alignment" —a foundational yet previously unmeasurable dimension of effective subtitle translation—by anchoring its measurement in the empirically verified properties of emotional data. Within translation studies, scholars have long argued that successful subtitle translation extends beyond lexical or syntactic fidelity, requiring the mediated negotiation of affect between source cultural contexts and target audience expectations (Gambier, 2013). However, the absence of a quantitative framework to capture this affective negotiation has relegated concepts such as "emotional resonance" and "affective congruence" to qualitative discourse, limiting their utility for guiding empirical research or informing evidence-based translation practices. ECI resolves this limitation by translating these abstract concepts into a concrete, quantifiable metric: for example, an ECI score of 0.22—indicative of low emotional alignment—serves not merely as a statistical output but as a diagnostic indicator. This score signals that the underlying translation strategy (e.g., literal reproduction of source-culture emotional cues) has failed to facilitate effective affective mediation, prompting scholars to revisit and refine approaches such as the integration of cultural annotations or contextual paraphrasing of emotional expressions. In doing so, ECI forges a critical link between quantitative analysis and both theoretical inquiry and practical translational imperatives – a connection that was notably absent from prior subtitle evaluation frameworks.

Secondly, ECI bridges the disciplinary divide between transmedia research and affect analysis in translation studies, directly responding to Jenkins' (2006) seminal call for translation frameworks that account for the interactive, user-driven nature of contemporary transmedia ecosystems. Traditional subtitle evaluation metrics, including BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and Translation Edit Rate (TER; Snover et al., 2006), are inherently static: they assess the accuracy of target subtitles against a fixed source text but fail to contextualize this accuracy within the dynamic, real-time audience engagement that defines modern media consumption (e.g., bullet screen comments on Bilibili or user-generated feedback on Viki). This limitation has grown increasingly salient as transmedia platforms integrate audience affect into the content experience, rendering audience emotional responses a critical barometer of subtitle effectiveness. ECI is designed explicitly to address this gap: it is calibrated to analyze real-time, aggregated audience feedback (e.g., emotional valence scores derived from VADER for colloquial audience comments) in tandem with subtitle emotional scores (e.g., from BERT for structured subtitle content), enabling scholars to evaluate how subtitles perform within the interactive, user-centric environments that characterize cross-cultural media exchange today. By centering transmedia dynamics and audience affect, ECI shifts translation studies beyond

a narrow focus on text-to-text accuracy toward a more holistic understanding of translation as a mediating practice between source content, target audiences, and media forms—an orientation that aligns with the field＇s growing emphasis on audience-centricity and transmedia literacy.

Thirdly, ECI reconciles the dual imperatives of statistical rigor and disciplinary accessibility—a balance that has long posed a barrier to the adoption of quantitative methods in translation studies. Pearson＇s correlation coefficient, the established gold standard for measuring linear relationships between variables, offers high statistical validity but presents practical challenges for many translation scholars, who may lack specialized training in advanced statistical methodologies. Furthermore, as previously noted, Pearson＇s coefficient includes redundant computational steps (e.g., mean subtraction) when applied to emotional data, which are inherently standardized to a [-1, 1] range with near-zero means due to the prevalence of neutral content and balanced emotional distribution in media contexts (Wang & Xu, 2019). ECI preserves the statistical rigor of Pearson＇s coefficient— retaining its core structure of covariance divided by the product of standard deviations— while simplifying these redundant steps to leverage the inherent centering of emotional data. This simplification does not compromise methodological validity: simulated data experiments demonstrate a strong linear correlation (r = 0.98, p < 0.001) between ECI and Pearson＇s coefficient, confirming that ECI maintains equivalent levels of statistical reliability. Critically, ECI also enhances disciplinary accessibility through its interpretable output range ([-1, 1]) and unambiguous interpretive framework: a score of 0.8 directly denotes strong emotional alignment, while a score of -1 indicates perfect emotional opposition. This clarity eliminates the need for specialized statistical expertise to interpret results, making ECI accessible to researchers across subfields of translation studies—from audiovisual translation to cross-cultural communication—who might otherwise hesitate to engage with quantitative analytical approaches. In this way, ECI democratizes access to rigorous emotional alignment measurement, fostering broader adoption of quantitative methods and cross-subfield collaboration in translation research.

2. Practical Applications

The Emotional Consistency Index (ECI) exhibits immediate and tangible utility across the translation ecosystem, serving the distinct needs of both academic researchers and industry practitioners through targeted, actionable functionality.

On the one hand, ECI empowers scholars to conduct empirically grounded evaluations of translation strategies, addressing a critical limitation of traditional metrics that prioritize lexical accuracy over affective effectiveness. For researchers focused on cross-cultural subtitle communication, ECI enables systematic comparisons of how different translational approaches mediate emotional cues between source content and target audiences—for instance, contrasting the performance of literal translation (which retains source-culture-specific emotional signifiers without adaptation) against adaptive translation (which modifies such signifiers to align with target cultural norms). Beyond mere comparison, ECI introduces a quantitative dimension to assessing the impact of specific translational interventions: for example, it can empirically verify whether the inclusion of a brief cultural

annotation (e.g., contextualizing a ritualistic expression of sorrow unique to the source culture) enhances emotional alignment, or whether paraphrasing emotionally charged terms to reflect target audience colloquialisms yields stronger affective resonance. This capacity to quantify the effectiveness of discrete translation choices transforms what was once subjective evaluation (e.g., "this adaptive strategy feels more engaging") into evidence-based insight, equipping scholars to develop data-driven recommendations for best practices in cross-cultural subtitle translation.

On the other hand, ECI offers significant value to industry practitioners, particularly operators of transmedia and audiovisual content platforms such as Viki and Bilibili, by enabling real-time optimization of AI-driven subtitle systems. These platforms, which cater to global, linguistically diverse audiences, face the ongoing challenge of ensuring that subtitles not only convey semantic meaning but also maintain emotional fidelity across cultural boundaries—yet traditional static subtitle systems lack the ability to adjust dynamically to audience responses. By integrating ECI into their algorithmic workflows, these platforms can establish a data-informed feedback loop: as a scene plays, the system can aggregate real-time audience feedback (e.g., emotional valence scores from bullet screens or comment sections, generated via tools like VADER) and compute ECI to measure alignment with the subtitle's intended emotional tone. If ECI falls below a predefined threshold (e.g., 0.3, indicating insufficient emotional alignment), the system can automatically trigger the generation of an adapted subtitle—for example, rephrasing an emotionally ambiguous phrase or adding contextual clarity—to better resonate with the target audience. Following this adjustment, the system can recompute ECI to validate improvements, ensuring that subtitle performance is continuously refined based on actual audience affect. This real-time optimization not only enhances user engagement by reducing emotional misinterpretation but also strengthens the platform's capacity to deliver culturally sensitive content at scale, a key competitive advantage in the global streaming landscape.

3. Limitations and Future Directions

While controlled simulated datasets have proven invaluable for isolating variables (e.g., manipulating emotional alignment degrees) and testing ECI's theoretical predictions, their exclusive use in empirical validation constitutes a critical limitation—one that undermines ECI's ability to account for the messy, context-dependent complexity of real-world audience feedback in cross-cultural subtitle consumption. Simulated data is inherently designed to simplify rather than replicate the full spectrum of human emotional expression and user behavior, leading to three key gaps:

First, simulated frameworks fail to capture pragmatic nuances of emotional language, particularly figurative expressions like sarcasm or verbal irony. For example, a comment such as "Wow, that 'heartwarming' scene really made my day" in response to a sorrowful subtitle may register as "positive" via text-only sentiment tools (e.g., VADER) but actually reflects genuine frustration. This creates a misalignment between surface-level emotional scores and actual audience affect—a dynamic that simulated data (which relies on literal valence assignments) cannot model.

Second, simulated datasets rarely account for multilingual feedback, a ubiquitous feature of global platforms like Viki. For instance, a Japanese anime subtitled in English may elicit comments in Mandarin, Spanish, or Korean—each containing culture-specific emotional lexicon (e.g., the Mandarin term "心酸" [xīnsuān], which blends "sadness" and "pity") that lacks direct equivalents in the target language. Simulated data, which typically relies on monolingual inputs, cannot capture the linguistic ambiguity or cultural specificity of such feedback.

Third, simulated data ignores idiosyncrasies of real user behavior, including uneven comment frequency across scenes (e.g., sparse feedback for expository segments vs. dense comments for climactic moments), temporal fluctuations in engagement (e.g., peak comments during live broadcasts vs. delayed responses for on-demand content), and the influence of external cultural events (e.g., a global tragedy altering audience sensitivity to sad subtitles). These factors directly shape emotional alignment but are absent from controlled simulated environments.

To address these limitations, future research must prioritize empirical validation using large-scale, real-world data from transmedia platforms. Table 1 outlines a concrete, actionable plan for leveraging data from Bilibili (a China-based platform with bullet screen feedback) and Niconico (a Japan-founded platform known for its danmaku culture and UGC subtitle ecosystem) to refine and validate ECI.

Table 3: Concrete Plan for Empirical Validation of ECI Using Real-World Platform Data

Platform	Data Type	Data Processing Pipeline	Simulated Data Limitation Addressed	Technical/Tool Support
Bilibili	1. Time-stamped bullet screen comments (text); 2. Official/subtitle segments (text); 3. User engagement metrics (comment frequency, likes/dislikes,	1. Extract anonymized bullet screen data via Bilibili's Public API, filtering for comments aligned with subtitle timestamps (±5 seconds) to ensure relevance; 2. Detect multilingual comments (via FastText) and translate non-	1. Multilingual feedback; 2. Uneven comment frequency; 3. Partial mitigation of pragmatic nuances (via contextual timestamp alignment).	Bilibili Public Bullet Screen API; FastText (multilingual detection); BERT/VADER (sentiment scoring); Python (pandas for normalization).

Platform	Data Type	Data Processing Pipeline	Simulated Data Limitation Addressed	Technical/Tool Support
	timestamped interactions).	Chinese text to a unified target language (e.g., English) using Google Translate API; 3. Score subtitle emotion (BERT) and comment emotion (VADER); 4. Normalize comment frequency across scenes (e.g., weighting scores by segment-specific comment density) to address uneven engagement.		
Niconico	1. Anonymized danmaku (time-stamped overlay comments, text); 2. UGC/user-verified subtitle segments (text); 3. User interaction logs (Mylist [collection] status, "good" ratings, pause/rewind events linked to subtitles); 4. Optional	1. Access anonymized data via Niconico's Developer API (with academic research authorization), mapping danmaku/interactions to subtitle timestamps using Niconico's built-in "content-segment ID" system; 2. Identify pragmatic nuances (sarcasm/irony in Japanese) via TeNPy (a Japanese NLP tool) + contrastive analysis of danmaku-	1. Pragmatic language (sarcasm/irony in Japanese); 2. External cultural event influence (via temporal analysis of danmaku spikes during region-specific events); 3. Cross-cultural variability in emotional alignment.	Niconico Developer API (academic authorization); TeNPy/Janome (Japanese NLP); BERT (subtitle sentiment) + Japanese VADER variant (danmaku sentiment); R (subgroup analysis).

Platform	Data Type	Data Processing Pipeline	Simulated Data Limitation Addressed	Technical/Tool Support
	user language preference tags (for cross-linguistic subgroup analysis).	subtitle valence (e.g., negative lexicon paired with positive emoji); 3. Correlate ECI scores with Mylist rates (a proxy for deep engagement) to validate ecological relevance.		

A critical extension of this plan involves integrating multimodal emotional cues to further enhance ECI's ecological validity—another gap in simulated data (which focuses exclusively on text). Tools like OpenFace 2.0 (Baltrušaitis et al., 2018) use computer vision to extract facial expressions from audiovisual content (e.g., a character's furrowed brows signaling sorrow, a live-action actor's smile conveying joy) and quantify their emotional valence. By combining these visual emotional scores with text-based scores (from BERT, VADER, or Japanese NLP tools), ECI can capture the holistic, multi-sensory nature of real-world media consumption: for example, a subtitle conveying "relief" paired with a character's relaxed facial expression will likely elicit stronger aligned feedback than the same subtitle paired with a neutral visual. This integration ensures ECI reflects how audiences actually engage with subtitles—through both language and visual cues—rather than just textual data.

Furthermore, ECI is inherently predicated on the assumption of a linear relationship between subtitle emotional scores and audience feedback, a constraint that may limit its ability to capture the non-linear and nuanced emotional dynamics often present in cross-cultural media consumption. In practice, audience responses to subtitles are frequently non-linear: for example, a subtitle designed to evoke "mild joy" might instead elicit a mix of positive affect (from some viewers who relate to the emotional cue) and neutral or even negative affect (from others who find the cue culturally unfamiliar), resulting in a scattered, non-linear pattern of feedback that ECI's linear framework cannot fully represent. Similarly, certain emotional states (e.g., bittersweetness, which blends sadness and happiness) inherently resist linear quantification, as they involve competing valences that do not align along a single positive-negative axis. To address this limitation, future iterations of ECI could integrate non-parametric statistical methods—such as Spearman's rank correlation coefficient—into its core calculation. Unlike Pearson's coefficient (and the current ECI), Spearman's method does not assume a linear relationship between variables; instead, it measures the strength of monotonic association, making it better suited

to capturing non-linear patterns in emotional data. Importantly, this integration should retain ECI's core simplifications—such as leveraging the centering of emotional data to avoid redundant mean-subtraction steps—ensuring that the metric remains computationally efficient and accessible to translation scholars while gaining the flexibility to handle non-linear emotional dynamics. This refinement would enable ECI to address a broader range of real-world scenarios, further solidifying its utility as a comprehensive tool for emotional alignment measurement.

Conclusion

This paper introduces the Emotional Consistency Index (ECI), a novel quantitative metric developed to address a longstanding and critical gap in translation studies: the absence of systematic tools to measure the alignment between the emotional tone of subtitled content and the emotional responses of target audiences in cross-cultural contexts. ECI is not a mere technical adjustment of existing statistical methods but a purpose-built solution that bridges the divide between abstract theoretical concerns about emotional communication and the practical need for actionable, data-driven insights in subtitle translation. Its derivation—rooted in the mathematical rigor of Pearson's correlation coefficient yet simplified to leverage the inherent centering of emotional data (a defining feature of outputs from tools like BERT and VADER)—ensures that it retains statistical validity while being uniquely adapted to the nuances of cross-cultural subtitle analysis. Unlike generic statistical metrics that require cumbersome preprocessing for emotional data, or traditional subtitle evaluation tools that prioritize lexical accuracy over affect, ECI is designed from the ground up to capture the dynamic, culture-mediated nature of emotional exchange, making it a theoretically grounded and practically feasible instrument for scholars and practitioners alike.

The validation of ECI through controlled simulated data experiments yields insights that extend beyond mere confirmation of its functionality. By demonstrating ECI's ability to reliably distinguish between high, low, and opposing levels of emotional alignment, this study establishes that ECI can transform how translation strategies are evaluated: it moves the field beyond subjective judgments of "effective" or "ineffective" subtitle approaches (e.g., literal versus adaptive translation) toward empirical assessments of how specific choices mediate emotional resonance. For instance, ECI does not just indicate that an adaptive strategy outperforms a literal one—it quantifies the degree of that outperformance, providing a clear, replicable standard for comparing interventions such as cultural annotations or colloquial rephrasing. In so doing, ECI empowers translation research to shift from descriptive analyses of emotional communication to prescriptive frameworks that guide the development of more culturally sensitive, audience-responsive subtitle practices.

More broadly, ECI represents a meaningful contribution to translation studies' ongoing paradigm shift—away from a narrow focus on text-to-text fidelity and toward a more holistic, audience-centric, and transmedia-informed understanding of translation. In an era where global media consumption is increasingly driven by interactive platforms (e.g., bullet screen-enabled video services, real-time comment sections), translation is no longer a one-way transfer of meaning but a dynamic negotiation between source cultures, target

audiences, and media forms. ECI responds to this shift by centering audience emotion as a core metric of success, rather than an afterthought, thereby equipping the field to engage more deeply with the realities of modern cross-cultural media exchange. It does not merely adapt to the field's evolving priorities but actively advances them, providing a scalable tool that can be integrated into both academic research and industry workflows to measure, refine, and optimize emotional communication across cultural boundaries.

Looking ahead, the planned refinements of ECI—including validation with real-world datasets and expansion to multi-modal contexts—are not just incremental improvements but essential steps in ensuring its long-term relevance. As media technologies evolve (e.g., the rise of AI-generated subtitles, multi-modal content that blends text, audio, and visual cues), the need for flexible, adaptive tools to measure emotional alignment will only grow. By incorporating real-world feedback (which captures the complexity of sarcasm, multilingualism, and idiosyncratic user behavior) and integrating visual emotional cues (e.g., character facial expressions), future iterations of ECI will become even more attuned to the full spectrum of factors that shape cross-cultural emotional resonance. In this way, ECI is positioned to remain a vital resource for translation studies and cross-cultural communication, even as the landscape of global media continues to change.

Ultimately, the significance of ECI extends beyond its role as a methodological innovation. By rendering the abstract concept of "emotional alignment" measurable, ECI opens new avenues for research into how culture shapes emotional interpretation, how translation mediates these interpretations, and how to design more effective cross-cultural media experiences. It transforms emotional communication from a qualitative, often elusive dimension of translation into a quantifiable, actionable variable—one that can be studied, optimized, and used to foster deeper cross-cultural understanding. In a world where global media serves as both a bridge and a potential source of cultural misunderstanding, ECI provides a scientific foundation for building more empathetic, resonant, and successful cross-cultural communication—making it a tool not just for advancing translation studies, but for enhancing the quality of global cultural exchange.

References

Baltrušaitis, T., et al. (2018). OpenFace 2.0: Facial behavior analysis toolkit. In *13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018)* (pp. 59–66). IEEE.

Devlin, J., et al. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)* (pp. 4171–4186). Association for Computational Linguistics.

Gambier, Y. (2013). Translation studies and media studies: A need for interdisciplinarity. *Target*, 25(1), 1–18.

Hutto, C. J., & Gilbert, E. (2014). VADER: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 8(1)* (pp. 216–225).

Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide.* New York University Press.

Papineni, K., et al. (2002). BLEU: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (pp. 311–318). Association for Computational Linguistics.

Pearson, K. (1896). Mathematical contributions to the theory of evolution. III. Regression, heredity, and panmixia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character*, 187, 253–318.

Snover, M., et al. (2006). A study of translation edit rate with targeted human annotation. In *Proceedings of the 7th Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas* (pp. 223–231). Association for Machine Translation in the Americas.

Virtanen, P., et al. (2020). SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, 17(3), 261–272.

Wang, M., & Xu, J. (2019). Emotional analysis and comparative study of bullet-screen comments and subtitles. *Intelligence, Information & Sharing*, 37(5), 109–117.

Ivarsson, J., & Carroll, M. (1998). *Subtitling*. Trans Edit HB.

Sousa, R. M., Collares, C. F., & Pereira, V. H. (2024). Longitudinal variation of correlations between different components of assessment within a medical school. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 1–11.

Funding Information

Disclosure Statement

The authors report no competing interests.